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What is the Issue?
Who/What do we mean by “mobile employee”…?

• Any employee that provides services in more than one tax jurisdiction (country, state, municipality, etc.):
  – Expatriates
  – Permanent Transfers
  – Temporary Assignees
  – Split Jurisdiction / Split Payroll Employees
  – Cross border Commuter Employees
  – Business Travelers
What is the issue?

Companies move employees across borders

Employees receive compensation that is earned over multiple years and frequently earned in more than one jurisdiction as the employee moves across borders

Where will the company obtain the necessary data to track its employees and ensure tax compliance?

How will this data be processed? I.e. how will withholding take place for an employee in a former location where no other wages are being reported?

What function within the organization is responsible for this process?
Globally mobile employees – What is an equity award trailing liability?

- An employee may be granted an equity award in one country, vest in that award in a second country, exercise in a third country, and ultimately sell the shares in a fourth country
- There could be employer reporting and withholding as well as individual tax implications in each jurisdiction
What is an equity award trailing liability?

- Similar issues can arise domestically between US States
Why now? Changing compliance environment

Decreased revenue bases
- Decreasing revenue bases, along with technological improvements, create enhanced capacity and more aggressive behavior by tax authorities during audits, stricter enforcement of legislation, and less leniency in the negotiation of settlements and/or assessment of penalties.
- Developed nations becoming more sophisticated in addressing and auditing taxation for ex-residents (e.g., Canada, China, Germany, UK, US)
- Decreases in company revenues result in less resources, technological and personnel, available to monitor and facilitate compliant operations.

Continuously changing regulations
- Challenge to keep current on constantly changing legislation, which increases exponentially as mobility creates need to understand interaction of regulations across jurisdictions.
- Informational filings increasingly being enacted (China, Ireland, UK)
- Departure and Exit Taxes (Hong Kong, Singapore)

Public scrutiny (media and institutional shareholders)
- Commercial impact of negative publicity regarding non-compliant behaviors can have greater impact than fines/penalties assessed by regulators.

Management’s risk tolerance
- Changes in management accountability and disclosure requirements have changed C-suite’s perspective on what constitutes acceptable risk.
- Changing demographics for mobile employees impacts areas of focus.

Compliance
- Increased audit risk
- Increased scrutiny
- Acceptable risk profile
- Legislative updates
There is an increasing need for governments to find new sources of revenue. According to the CIA World Fact Book\(^1\), government spending exceeds revenues in numerous countries, including:

- United Kingdom 26%
- Netherlands 12%
- Russia 22%
- United States 60%
- Ireland 94%
- Venezuela 26%
- Japan 25%
- India 47%
- Australia 11%

\(^1\) CIA World Fact Book — 2011
Why focus now?  
A domestic perspective

The Center on Budget and Policy Priorities reported that, within the United States, 42 of the 50 state governments projected budget shortfalls for fiscal year 2012.  

Greater than 20%  
• California  
• Minnesota  
• Nevada  
• New Jersey  
• Oregon  
• Texas  

20 additional states greater than 11%

2 The Center of Budget and Policy Priorities — “The State Budget Crisis and the Economy”
## Cross-border compliance and equity — Tightening rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Hong Kong</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>U.K.</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>New York/Minnesota</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Japan/Canada/Ireland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Created rules in 2001 to tax gains upon departure of expats from Hong Kong</td>
<td>Passed legislation in 2003 to tax gains realized by former residents</td>
<td>Indicated intent to tax NR gains in 2003</td>
<td>Issued Circular 35 in 2005 to enable tracking of share awards</td>
<td>Stuckless case, 2006</td>
<td>French Supreme Court rules on stock option sourcing</td>
<td>Japan: Certain Japanese branches and subsidiaries of foreign entities will be required to submit a report detailing equity compensation of resident employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canada: Adoption of OECD grant to vest sourcing rules for stock options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ireland: Revenue confirmed “all in/all out” approach to RSU sourcing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010–2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Global issues to consider

• Timing of taxation varies between countries:
  – Most countries tax at exercise
  – Some countries tax at grant, vest, or sale
  – Exit and trailing taxes
• Amount subject to tax varies between countries:
  – Even for those that tax at exercise, there may be differences between the statutory definition of fair market value
    • Italy — average price over the prior month
    • China/Taiwan — closing price on the date of transaction
• Company chargeback position can impact tax withholding and reporting requirements.
Global issues to consider (cont.)

• Sourcing rules vary
  – Some countries source according to location at grant (Belgium)
  – Most countries follow the OECD approach of sourcing according to location between grant and vesting (United States, Germany)
  – Some countries source based on location between grant and exercise (Finland, Japan)

• Some countries do not source the income (Italy)
• Qualified Plans, favorable Grant Terms, and Elections
• Further complexity with social security tax
• Tax Equalization Policy
US state issues to consider

• States are enacting legislation regarding taxation for nonresidents (e.g., New York, Minnesota)
• Increased scrutiny with respect to accurate tax withholding and reporting
• Payroll tax audits, IDR’s, and notices by a number of states
• Many states do not have an established de minimis reporting threshold
US state issues to consider

• States generally tax their resident on all income, regardless of its source, with some exceptions

• States tax nonresidents only on income from sources within the state
  – Which includes income from employment in the state,
  – But generally does not include income from intangible personal property (such as stock sales, i.e., capital gains tax)

• City or local tax may also be due on equity income
  – Tax treatment will differ based upon locale and how locale taxes residents and nonresidents

• Sourcing will depend on a number of factors and must be considered on a state-by-state basis

• Tax Credits and Reciprocity Agreements need to be considered
Employer Compliance Challenge
Mobility: Complex Balancing Act

- Tax Audits
- Income Allocation
- Tax Treaties
- Local Country Regulations
- Payroll Compliance
- Corp Tax Policy
- Mobility Policy
- Corp Culture
- Resources
- System Capabilities
Employer responsibilities

If employer reporting and/or withholding is required on equity income:
• Employer is possibly required to report and withhold in multiple jurisdictions
• What is reportable and when?
  – Award?
  – Vest?
  – Exercise?
• Determination of applicable withholding rates:
  – Review employer reporting and withholding requirements in each jurisdiction
  – Determine combined withholding rate applicable to total income (watch issues around minimum statutory withholding requirement when using net share settlement)
  – Apply withholding rate to income transaction
  – Deposit appropriate withholding with each jurisdiction (part of withholding may be hypothetical tax)
  – Ensure determined taxable income and withholding in each jurisdiction is reported locally
  – Communicate process to individual
Employer responsibilities (cont.)

• Consideration of recharge of costs (i.e., may trigger withholding and reporting obligations that do not already exist)
  – Consider whether recharge positions relating to mobile employees differ from the general corporate practices regarding recharging equity costs

• If no employer reporting and/or withholding required:
  – Employer should alert employee to individual tax obligations.
  – Employer should alert employee to individual compliance obligations.
  – Employee must report income in monthly or annual tax return filings and pay tax accordingly.
  – Employee is responsible for noncompliance penalties.

• Risk management
  – Where do you draw the line?
    • Timing-based de-minimis test
    • Income amount based de-minimis test
  – Penalties for non-compliance
  – Administrative complexities
Globally mobile employees — Withholding tax calculations

Withholding tax calculations for globally mobile employees

- In house
- In house with assistance external provider
- External provider
- Automated calculations
- Other

% of companies

4 2012 Deloitte Global Equity Plan Survey
Addressing the equity mobility question
Globally mobile employees — tracking movement

WHO?  
WHERE?  
WHEN?  
HOW OFTEN?  
EMPLOYER RESPONSIBILITY?
Globally mobile employees — tracking movement

9% of U.S. companies do not track the movement of their mobile employees at all.

3 2012 Deloitte Global Equity Plan Survey
How do we identify and track?

Key Issue: How to identify and track?

- Need to get comprehensive and accurate data without imposing onerous requirements on business and employees
- Travel solutions
- Corporate credit cards
- Expense reports
- Security entry into employer’s buildings
- Self-certification
- Management’s responsibility
- Microchip inserted into employees
- HR’s responsibility
- External sources
Practical approaches to risk management

**Goal**: To develop a policy that balances the company’s desire to ensure corporate and individual tax compliance against the need for a functional program in practice

**Step I**: Identify individuals with exposure in multiple tax jurisdictions

- Long-term expatriates
- Short-term assignees
- Permanent transfers (domestic or international)
- Commuters
- Business travelers

**Step II**: Assess requirements and identify individuals for processing

- Self disclosure via broker
- Prevest/exercise email to employees
- Corporate travel
- Corporate mobility (including external vendors)

**Step III**: Determine appropriate and practical process to apply

Once populations and individuals are identified, the company can modify existing processes so mobility data can be used to meet equity award compliance requirements.
Practical approaches to risk management (cont.)

• Penalties for noncompliance
• Publicity
• Administrative complexities
  – Local company versus parent company requirement
  – Can parent company meet obligations?
• Where do you draw the line?
  – How long to track?
  – Minimum income thresholds
• Individual responsibilities
## Tax grids

**Approach:** Client utilizes “generic” reports prepared in advance by an external consultant to identify and address compliance obligations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Often a low-cost option</td>
<td>• Data in reports needs to be updated on an annual basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ideal when large homogeneous employee groups result in repetitive transactions</td>
<td>• Client must have sufficient resources to coordinate data, understand content of reports, perform calculations, and distribute results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Allows organizations to leverage strong internal resources</td>
<td>• Cost effectiveness declines when multiple plans, vehicles, and country combinations exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Difficult to utilize for employees who have worked in more than two locations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Manual Calculations

**Approach:** Utilizing agreed positions, an external consultant performs employee specific calculations to identify defendable compensation reporting and tax withholding amounts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Analysis delivered quickly, 48 to 72 hours regardless of scale</td>
<td>• Requires annual review of tax positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reports customized per client’s data needs</td>
<td>• Costs vary with employee activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tax technical information (i.e., income and social tax rates) is current</td>
<td>• Results not instantaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Low implementation costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Minimal reliance on client resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Automated Technology Solution

**Approach:** Automated calculations of defendable, employee-specific compensation reporting and tax withholding amounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Reports provided in seconds</td>
<td>• Easy to budget for costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reports customized per client’s data needs</td>
<td>• Generally not cost effective for programs that do not have significant populations / concentrations of employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tax technical information (i.e., income and social tax rates) is current</td>
<td>• Company positions need to be updated annually and changes programmed into the system (resulting in additional fees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Minimal reliance on client resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Define a Process

Define Process/Setup
- Policy discussions
- Data flow/Tax withholding process
- Communication channels

Identify individuals impacted by mobility
- Global Mobility Function
- Tax Provider
- Stock Plan Administrator/Other

Set parameters of impacted population (demiminimus thresholds)
- Country specific factors (P&I regime, SOL, etc)
- Individual thresholds (time or value based)
- Impact of recharge, sourcing positions

Perform calculations
- Format – Automated or Manual
- Timing – Batch or Live
- Roles and Responsibilities (i.e., gross-ups, $ value or %)

Communicate Results
- Stock Plan Administrator
- Mobility Function / Corp Tax
- Payroll

Execute Payroll
- System capabilities/limitations
- Complex requests
- Payroll strategy

Employee Communications
- At Grant, pre & post taxable event (vest or exercise)
- Q&As
Best practices to consider

• Determine the plans, population, and states and countries involved
• Business sponsorship across functions
• Access to decision makers and ability to signoff positions (i.e., delegated authority) is essential
• Teamwork is the key to success
  – Between organizations (consultant, company, broker)
  – Within the organizations (Tax, Information Technology, Human Resources, Legal, Finance, and Payroll)
  – Global (get buy-in from key locations at the outset)
• Know your data
  – Quality of data — knowing what data company houses, where it is located, and how it can be pulled together
• Communications, communication, communication
  – Among company stakeholders (both United States and global)
  – With employees, especially where there is a change in process
• Flexibility — balance practicality with risk management
Questions?
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